

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,790	09/11/2003	Miki Yamazaki	7006162001	9161
David W. Mah	7590 10/29/2007 er	EXAMINER		
Bingham McCi 28th Floor	utchen LLP	FOSTER, CHRISTINE E		
Three Embarca	dero Center	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco,	CA 94111		1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/661,790	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
188181   1881   88118   8119   818   1881   1888   8811   881		Art Unit	•
	Long V. Le	1641	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



inis is in response	to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R	eview filed 10/03/07.
1. Improper reason(s):	Request – The Request is improper	and a conference will not be held for the following
The req	tice of Appeal has not been filed conc quest does not include reasons why a osed amendment is included with the I	
	I for filing a response continues to run f the last Office communication, if no N	from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The applic is required to su brief will be reso running from the appeal brief is e	cation remains under appeal because ubmit an appeal brief in accordance w et to be one month from mailing this d e receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period hever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
Claim(s) a Claim(s) o Claim(s) re	anel has determined the status of the allowed: <i>None</i> .  Spjected to: <u>42.</u> ejected: <u>1-7, 10, 34-36 and 40.</u> withdrawn from consideration: <u>26-33, 3</u>	
	pe mailed. Prosecution on the merits r	en held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of emains closed. No further action is required by
	Prosecution – A conference has beer ailed. No further action is required by	n held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office applicant at this time.
All participants:	Soulle	•
(1) <u>Long V. Le</u> .	LONG V. LE Supervisory patent examiner	(3) <u>Christine Foster</u> .
(2) Robert Wax.	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600	(4) .